

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/507,496	08/18/2005	Yoram Meidan	3223-005	8535	
22429 7550) LOWE HAUPTHAN HAM & BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			HARTMAN	HARTMANN, GARY S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3671		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/507 496 MEIDAN, YORAM Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner Gary Hartmann 3671 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.5.6.8.9.12.13 and 15-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8.9.15 and 17 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,12,13 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 September 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsherson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 11 April 2008 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because it includes the trademark "Teflon®." This recitation must be replaced with the appropriate material; i.e., polytetrafluoroethylene. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1-3, 5, 6, 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prosenz (U.S. Patent 4,376,594) in view of Thompson (U.S. Patent 4,681,302).

As discussed in previous Office actions, Prosenz discloses a plurality of elements having the claimed shape (Figure 5). Prosenz does not teach the material different from that the main portion of the barrier to be surrounding the rod. Thompson discloses a material (40) different from the barrier to be surrounding a connecting rod (42). It would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 10/507,496

Art Unit: 3671

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the configuration of Thompson with the barrier of Prosenz in order to include a bushing, as taught by Thompson.

The bushing meets the recitations regarding the bore.

The materials are not disclosed by Prosenz in association with the energy absorbing material (8); however, these materials are well known for use in crash barriers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used polytetrafluoroethylene as a coating on the coupling structure of Prosenz, in order to ease connection and disassembly of adjacent barriers, for example. This meets claim recitations.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prosenz and Thompson as applied above, and further in view of Smith (U.S. Patent 5,022,781).

Smith teaches a barrier having a cup lined bore (34, Figure 3) for accommodating a rod. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the cup lined bore of Smith with the barrier of Prosenz in order to strengthen the bore and/or easily manufactured the barrier having a bore therein.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Prosenz/Thompson/Smith as applied above, and further in view of Tagg (U.S. Patent 6,837,647).

Tagg discloses optionally interconnecting barriers with a tubular pin having integral anchor members (43). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the pin of Tagg with the barrier of Prosenz in order to obtain a more secure connection, as taught by Tagg.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 16 November 2007 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 571-272-6989. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday, 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,496 Page 5

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gary Hartmann/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3671